

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	ABER FILING DATE		
	THE	T NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY, DOCKET NO.
08/814,	928 02/27/97 DALVI		<u></u>
		<u></u>	42320 F4024
	LM12/06	4.0	
LESTER .	! VINCENT	13	VITUNIT PAPER NUMBER
SEVENTH	SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN	KOBEI	HSON, BI
12400 W)	LSHIRE ROULEVADA		< 1 % A
LOS ANGE	LES CA 90025	2752 M	AILED:
			06/13/00
This is a communic	ation from the examiner in charge of your application.		
COMMISSIONER C	DF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS		
•	OFFICE ACTIO		
N	OFFICE ACTIO		**
Responsive to cor	mmunication(s) filed on $+/2+/0$	ఎ	
This action is FINA	1 7—		
accordance with the	ion is in condition for allowance except for forma ne practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11	i matters, prosecution as to the m	erits is closed in
	7	, 400 0.0. 213.	نو ده . نو ده
	period for response to this action is set to expire		s), or thirty days.
the application to become	m the mailing date of this action is set to expire m the mailing date of this communication. Failu ne abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions	re to respond within the period for re	sponse will cause
		be obtained under the p	rovisions of 37 CFR
Disposition of Claims			
A Claim(s) 12-	19, 26-29 and 31	- 27-	
Of the above, claim	(s) 12-19 & 26-29	is/are	pending in the application.
☐ Claim(s) ☐		is/are with	drawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	37		is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
Claim(s)			1-4-
		are subject to restricti	on or election requirement.
Application Donesis			
Application Papers			
See the attached No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Position	PTO.948	
See the attached No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F		nor.
See the attached No The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawing	ortice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Foon	is/are objected to by the Exami	_
See the attached No The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawin The specification is of	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F on		
See the attached No The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawi The specification is of The oath or declarati	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F on	is/are objected to by the Exami	_
See the attached No The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawi The specification is of The oath or declarati Priority under 35 U.S.C.	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Fon	is/are objected to by the Exami	_
See the attached No The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawi The specification is of The oath or declarati Priority under 35 U.S.C.	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Fon	is/are objected to by the Exami	_
See the attached No. The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawin The specification is of The cath or declarati Priority under 35 U.S.C. Acknowledgment is re	ortice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F on ng correction, filed on objected to by the Examiner. Ion is objected to by the Examiner. § 119 nade of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.	is/are objected to by the Exami	_
See the attached No The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawin The specification is of The oath or declarati Priority under 35 U.S.C. Acknowledgment is no	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Fon	is/are objected to by the Exami	_
See the attached No. The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawin The specification is of The oath or declarati Priority under 35 U.S.C. Acknowledgment is not all Some* In received.	ortice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Fon	is/are objected to by the Exami	_
See the attached No. The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawin The specification is of The oath or declarati Priority under 35 U.S.C. Acknowledgment is n All Some* received. received in Applia	potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, For	is/are objected to by the Examinis approach approach approach. C. § 119(a)-(d).	_
See the attached No The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawin The specification is of The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. Acknowledgment is r All Some* [received. received in Application in this necessity.	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Fon	is/are objected to by the Examinis approach approach approach. C. § 119(a)-(d).	_
See the attached No The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawin The specification is of The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. Acknowledgment is received. received in Application received in this new Certified copies not received.	ortice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Fon	is/are objected to by the Examining is appropriate appropriate and appropriate	_
See the attached No The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawin The specification is of The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. Acknowledgment is received. received in Application received in this new Certified copies not received.	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Fon	is/are objected to by the Examining is appropriate appropriate and appropriate	_
See the attached No The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawin The specification is of The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. Acknowledgment is received. received in Application received in this new Certified copies not received.	ortice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Fon	is/are objected to by the Examining is appropriate appropriate and appropriate	_
See the attached No. The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawin The specification is on The cath or declarated The c	on	is/are objected to by the Examining is appropriate appropriate and appropriate	_
See the attached Note The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawing The specification is of The cath or declaration. The cath or declaration The cath or declaration The cath or declaration. The cath or declaration The cath or declaration. The cath or declaration The cath or declaration The cath or declaration. The cath or declaration The cath o	on	is/are objected to by the Examining is approximately appro	oved disapproved.
See the attached No. The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawing The specification is of the cath or declaration The cath or declaration The cath or declaration The cath or declaration Acknowledgment is maken The cath or declaration The cath or declaration Acknowledgment is maken Acknowledgment is maken Acknowledgment is maken Acknowledgment is maken Acknowledgment The cath of the ca	potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Fon	is/are objected to by the Examining is approximately appro	oved disapproved.
See the attached No. The drawing(s) filed The proposed drawin The specification is of The oath or declaration. The oath or declaration of the oath or declaration of the oath of the	ortice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Fon	is/are objected to by the Examining is approximately appro	oved disapproved.
See the attached Notice of Pafterence Continued in Interview Summary, Pt.	potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Fon	is/are objected to by the Examining is approximately appro	oved disapproved.
See the attached Notice of Pafterence Continued in Interview Summary, Pt.	ortice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Fon	is/are objected to by the Examining is approximately appro	oved disapproved.

Application/Control Number: 08/814928

Art Unit: 2759

This Office action is in response to the CPA filed April 24, 2000.

Applicants' requests for a corrected filing receipt is acknowledged, and said request will be fulfilled in due course.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the first and second state machines and their interconnection with the remainder of the elements as claimed in the newly amended claims. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 31-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no showing or description in the specification of the first state machine configured to update at least one of the bits indicating a suspend status of a write operation in response to a suspend signal or a second state machine coupled to said first state

Application/Control Number: 08/814928

Art Unit: 2759

machine and configured to control the output of the status signal in response to a status request

signal.

Claims 31-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. Applicant is reminded of 37 C.F.R. 1.75 (d)(1) which states that the claim or

claims must conform to the invention as set forth in the remainder of the specification and the

terms and phrases used in the claims must find clear support or antecedent basis in the description

so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description.

(See 1.58(a).) There is no discussion or showing of the first and second state machines in the

remainder of the specification, nor is there anything in the remainder of the specification that

clearly corresponds to such terminology. Thus, the claims are indefinite.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-9731 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2759

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the technology center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Direct any inquiries concerning drawing review to the Drawing Review Branch (703) 305-8404.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Robertson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3825.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Do Yoo, can be reached at 308-4908. The fax number for this technology center is (703) 305-9564. The fax number for art unit 2759 is (703) 305-9731.

Communications which are not application specific may also be posted on e-mail at *David.Robertson@USPTO.gov*.

DAVID L. ROBERTSON PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2759

C:\DOCS\WPWIN61\2000ACT\814928R3.EXE June 9, 2000